

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,736	09/09/2003	Alan Shluzas	1291.1138101	3377
33469 7590 02/10/2011 SEAGER, TUFTE & WICKHEM, LLC			EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLL			WOODALL, NICHOLAS W	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

(1) (2

Application/Contro	No. Applicant(s)/Patent u Reexamination	nder
10/658,736	SHLUZAS ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Nicholas Woodall	3775	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 20 October 2010.				
	Thie ie in raenonea to tha	Pro-Anneal Brief Regulact	for Review filed 20 C	actober 2010

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	al Brief Request for Review filed 20 Oc	tober 2010.
 Improper Request – The reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not in	s not been filed concurrent with the Pr clude reasons why a review is appropr is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief i	ate.
	onse continues to run from the receipt of communication, if no Notice of Appeal h	
held. The application remains ur is required to submit an appeal to brief will be reset to be one mon running from the receipt of the n	ent Appeals and Interferences – A P nder appeal because there is at least orbit in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37 th from mailing this decision, or the baotice of appeal, whichever is greater. F 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail datable.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal lance of the two-month time period further, the time period for filing of the
⊠ The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from control of the control	ned the status of the claim(s) is as folious.	WS:
	A conference has been held. The reject cution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejecti action is required by applicant at this	
All participants:		
(1) Nicholas Woodall.	(3) <u>Sue Lao</u> .	
(2) Cris Rodriguez.	(4)	
/Nicholas Woodall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Sue Lao/ Primary Examiner